Holt v. Menu Foods Inc. Doc. 5 Att. 5

Case 3:07-cv-00094 Document 5-6 Filed 04/18/2007 Page 1 of 6

BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE PET FOODS PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION) MDL Docket No. 1850
)

PLAINTIFFS JAYME PITTSONBERGER, DAVID CARTER AND JIM BULLOCK'S MOTION FOR TRANSFER AND COORDINATION PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §1407

Plaintiffs Jayme Pittsonberger, David Carter and Jim Bullock respectfully submit this joint motion before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation for an Order, under 28 U.S.C. §1407, that (i) transfers thirteen putative class actions, currently pending in the Western District of Washington, Western District of Arkansas, Southern District of Florida, Northern District of Illinois, Eastern District of Tennessee, District of Rhode Island, District of Connecticut, and the Central District of California, as well as any

These cases include: 1) Tom Whaley v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-00411 (W.D. Wash.); 2) Stacey Heller, et al. v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-00453 (W.D. Wash.); 3) Audrey Kornelius, et al. v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-00454 (W.D. Wash.); 4) Suzanne E. Johnson, et al. v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-00455 (W.D. Wash.); 5) Michele Suggett, et al. v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No.



cases that may subsequently be filed asserting similar or related claims, to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey; and (ii) coordinates these actions with the fifteen similar actions that are currently pending in the District of New Jersey.² In support of this Motion for Transfer and Coordination, Plaintiffs state as follows:

1. The class actions for which transfer and coordination are proposed arise out of the same conduct and allege virtually identical claims. Each action is brought on behalf of a class of purchasers of dog or cat food manufactured by Menu Foods and sold under various labels and alleges that Menu Foods produced contaminated or tainted pet food that sickened their dogs or cats and caused the death of many of them.

⁰⁷⁻cv-00457 (W.D. Wash.); 6) Shirley Sexton v. Menu Foods Income Fund, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01958 (C.D. Cal.); 7) Lauri A. Osborne v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-00469 (D. Conn.); 8) Lizajean Holt v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-00094 (E.D. Tenn.); 9) Carol Brown v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-00115 (D.R.I.); 10) Dawn Majerczyk v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01543 (N.D. Ill.); 11) Christina Troiano v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-60428 (S.D. Fla.); 12) Charles Ray Sims v. Menu Foods Income Fund, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-05053 (W.D. AK); and 13) Richard Scott Widen v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-05055 (W.D. AK) (hereafter the "13 Actions").

These actions include: 1) Jared Workman, et al. v. Menu Foods Limited, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01338 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 2) Suzanne Thompson, et al. v. Menu Foods Income Fund, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01360 (D.N.J.) (Sheridan); 3) Larry Wilson v. Menu Foods Income Fund, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01456 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 4) Paul Richard, et al. v. Menu Foods Income Fund, Docket No. 07-cv-01457 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 5) Linda Tinker v. Menu Foods, Inc., Docket No. 07-cv-01468 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 6) Janice Bonier et al. v. Menu Foods, Inc., Docket No. 07-cv-01477 (D.N.J.)(Hillman); 7) Julie Hidalgo v. Menu Foods, Inc., Docket No. 07-cv-01488 (D.N.J.)(Hillman); 8) Alexander Nunez v. Menu Foods Limited, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01490 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 9) Mark Golding v. Menu Foods Limited, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01521 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 10) Troy Gagliardi v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01522 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 11) Kami Turturro v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07cv-01523 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 12) Peggy Schneider v. Menu Foods Limited, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01533 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 13) Jayme Pittsonberger v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01561 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 14) David Carter v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01562 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 15) Jim Bullock v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01579 (D.N.J.) (Hillman).

- 2. This motion is filed on behalf of plaintiffs in the following actions: 1)

 Jayme Pittsonberger v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01561 (D.N.J.); 2)

 David Carter v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01562 (D.N.J.); 3) Jim Bullock

 v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01579 (D.N.J.) all of which are pending in

 the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey.
- 3. The 13 Actions proposed for transfer herein are the only actions on file outside the District of New Jersey of which Plaintiffs are aware.
- 4. Plaintiffs propose that pretrial proceedings in the 13 Actions be transferred and coordinated in the District of New Jersey where 15 of the 28 related actions are currently pending.
- 5. The centralization of these actions in a single judicial district for coordinated pretrial proceedings will promote the just and efficient conduct of these actions, will serve the convenience of all parties and witnesses and will promote the interests of justice because all actions involve common factual and legal issues, including:
 - a. whether the Defendants' dog and cat food was materially defective and
 unfit for use as dog or cat food;
 - whether Defendants breached any warranties, express or implied,
 relating to the sale of the dog and cat food;
 - c. whether Defendants' dog and cat food caused Plaintiffs' and other
 Class members' pets to become ill and die;
 - d. whether Plaintiffs and other Class members have been damaged, and, if so, what is the proper measure thereof;

- what is the appropriate form of injunctive, declaratory and other relief. e.
- 6. Coordination of the actions before a single court will conserve judicial resources, reduce litigation costs, prevent potentially inconsistent pretrial rulings, eliminate duplicative discovery and permit the cases to proceed to trial more efficiently.
- 7. All actions are in the very early stages of litigation; no responsive pleadings have been filed nor has any discovery been conducted.
- 8. The proposed transfer and coordination in the District of New Jersey will be for the convenience of parties and witnesses, and will promote the just and efficient conduct of these actions because it is expected that plaintiffs' counsel in all actions will take discovery of the same witnesses and documents.
- 9. Transfer to the District of New Jersey is appropriate because 15 of the 28 related actions were filed there; the District of New Jersey has the resources and judicial expertise to promptly and efficiently conduct this case; the District of New Jersey is more easily accessible and conveniently located than any other district proposed and, most importantly, the manufacturing facilities where much of the contaminated pet food was processed and manufactured is located in the District of New Jersey.
- 10. Plaintiffs' motion is based on the accompanying memorandum of law, the filed pleadings and papers, and other materials that may be presented to the Panel before or at the time of any hearing in this matter.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Panel order that the 13 Actions, as well as any cases that subsequently may be filed asserting related or similar claims, be transferred to the District of New Jersey for coordinated pretrial proceedings. Dated: April 5, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP

ROBERT-KAPLAN

LINDA NUSSBAUM CHRISTINE M. FOX

805 Third Avenue, 22nd Floor.

New York, NY 10022 Tel: (212) 687-1980

Fax: (212) 687-7714

KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP

LAURENCE D. KING

555 Montgomery Street, Suite 1501

San Francisco, CA 94111

Tel: (415) 772-4700

Fax: (415) 772-4707

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Pittsonberger,

Carter, and Bullock

KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP

WILLIAM J. PINILIS

237 South Street

Morristown, NJ 07962

Tel: (973) 656-0222

Fax: (973) 401-1114

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Carter and Bullock

SCHNEIDER & WALLACE

TODD M. SCHNEIDER

180 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000

San Francisco, CA 94104

Tel: (415) 421-7100

Fax: (415) 421-7105

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Pittsonberger,

Carter, and Bullock

THE MASON LAW FIRM, L.L.P. GARY E. MASON DONNA F. SOLEN 1225 19th Street, N.W., Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20036 Tel: (202) 429-2290 Fax: (202) 429-2294

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Pittsonberger, Carter, and Bullock

KANTROWITZ, GOLDHAMMER & GRAIFMAN
GARY S. GRAIFMAN
210 Summit Avenue
Montvale, NJ 07645
Tel: (201) 391-7000
Fax: (201) 307-1086

Attorneys for Plaintiff Pittsonberger

KARP, FROSH, LAPIDUS, WIGODSKY & NORWIND, P.A.
JEFFREY A. WIGODSKY
1133 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 250
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 822-3777
Fax: (202) 822-9722

Attorneys for Plaintiff Pittsonberger

VANEK, VICKERS & MASINI P.C. JOSEPH M. VANEK
111 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 4050
Chicago, IL 60606
Tel: (312) 224-1500
Fax: (312) 224-1510

Attorneys for Plaintiff Pittsonberger